## EXHIBIT 1

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

PALTALK HOLDINGS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Case No. 6:21-cv-00757-ADA

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendant.

## **CHART OF MOTIONS IN LIMINE**

Pursuant to Section C.6(b) of the Court's Amended Standing Order on Pretrial Procedures and Requirements in Civil Cases, the parties jointly submit the following chart of outstanding motions *in limine* ("MILs"):

MIL	Court's Ruling
Plaintiff's MIL #1: Evidence, reference, or argument related to documents that Cisco failed to provide by the deadline for fact discovery.	
Plaintiff's MIL #2: Evidence, reference, or argument related to the fact that Paltalk is not bringing any named inventor to trial or otherwise that any inventors are allegedly opposed to this lawsuit.	
Plaintiff's MIL #4: Evidence, reference, or argument related to any purported delay by Paltalk in filing this lawsuit or any argument that Paltalk could have filed this lawsuit sooner.	
Plaintiff's MIL #5: Reference or argument related to the relative importance of claim elements, including any statement that any	

## Case 6:21-cv-00757-ADA Document 129-1 Filed 06/26/23 Page 3 of 3

specific claim element is more important than	
others.	
Plaintiff's Opposition to the Court's MIL # 6:	
Permit Paltalk to Introduce Evidence and	
Argument Related to the Concluded	
Reexamination of the Asserted Patent.	